The multifunctionality of the annulated adducts 1c-e provides much opportunity for many different further chemical manipulations. For example, the two sulfur atoms of bis- α -tolylthio ester 1c can be oxidized into sulfoxide groups which can be thermally eliminated.4 In this way, followed by dehydration, we have converted adduct 1c effectively (55-60% yield) into the corresponding aromatic tetrahydronaphthalene system; dibromocyclohexanol 1d also was transformed (LiCl/DMF, 100 °C, 12 h) into tetrahydronaphthalene 4a (eq 4). One-pot dehydration and bisdehydrobromination of dibromocyclohexanols 3a and 3b (NaOMe/PhH) and 3c (pyridinium trifluoroacetate/pyridine, reflux, 12 h) led to the aromatic systems 4 shown in eq 4. This

$$1d. 3 \longrightarrow W \downarrow R \frac{1}{56} (4)$$

$$W \downarrow V H H 55$$

$$4d P H H 55$$

$$4d P H H 55$$

$$4d P H H F 57$$

overall process represents a direct, convenient, two-step procedure for annulating a meta-dicarboxylated benzene ring onto the -COCH₂- group of a ketone, thereby regiospecifically forming a trisubstituted or tetrasubstituted aromatic system. Recently, considerable effort has been directed at developing new methods for regiospecific synthesis of polyfunctionalized aromatic compounds.5

The lithium enolate of N-benzyl-4-piperidone⁶ was annulated into dibromocyclohexanol 5 which was isolated on gram scale in 66% overall yield after purification by short-path chromatography (eq 5). One-pot dehydration and bisdehydrobromination ((di-



methylamino)pyridine, triethylamine/pyridine, reflux, 12 h) gave tetrahydroisoquinoline 6 in 35% yield. This two-step sequence represents an unusually direct synthesis of the biologically important isoquinoline alkaloid ring system.7

The triply convergent, 82 + 2 + 2, Michael-Michael-ring closure (MIMIRC)⁹ cyclohexannulations¹⁰ shown in eq 1-3 and 5 represent an extremely useful, mild, easy, and convenient (e.g., no motor-driven syringe technique required)¹¹ means of transforming relatively simple into structurally much more complex cyclic systems.¹² These one-pot, multiple C-C bond-forming

(4) For a review, see: Trost, B. M. Acc. Chem. Res. 1978, 11, 453. (5) (a) Boger, D. L.; Mullican, M. D. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 4045. (b) Danheiser, R. L.; Gee, S. K. Ibid. 1984, 49, 1674. (c) Dieter, R. K.; Lin, Y. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 39. (d) For reviews, see: Bamfield, P.; Gordon, P. F. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1984, 13, 441. Dötz, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1984, 23, 587.

(8) For very recent examples of other effective multicomponent C-C bond-forming reactions, see: (a) Knochel, P.; Normant, J. F. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 425. Deshpande, M. N.; Jawdosiuk, M.; Kubiak, G.; Ven-katachalam, M.; Weiss, U.; Cook, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 4786.

(9) This notation is due to: Posner, G. H.; Mallamo, J. P.; Black, A. *Tetrahedron* 1981, 37, 3921 ("MIMIRC"). Danishefsky, S.; Chakulamannil, S.; Silvestri, M.; Springer, J. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 3615, ("2 + 2 + 2").

S.; shivestri, M.; Springer, J. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 5015, (2+2+2).
(10) For some recent cyclohexannulation procedures, see: (a) Danheiser, R.; Fink, D. M.; Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 2513. (b) Byers, J. H.; Spencer, T. A. Ibid. 1985, 26, 713. (c) Meyer, W. L.; Brannon, M. J.; Burgos, C. G.; Goodwin, T. E.; Howard R. W. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 438. (d) Tang, P.-D.; Wulff, W. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 1132. (e) Pariza, R. J.; Fuchs, P. L. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 2304. (f) Pietrusiewicz, K. M.; Monkiewicz, J.; Bodalski, R. Ibid. 1983, 48, 788. (g) Danheiser, R. L.; Martinez-Davila, C.; Sand, H. Tetrahedron 1981, 37, 3943.

(11) Cf.: Posner, G. H.; Lu, S.-B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 1424.

annulations will undoubtedly be of substantial value in organic synthesis. We are actively exploring further applications.

Acknowledgment. We thank the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society, for a research grant (No. 14645) and for a Summer Research Fellowship to Professor Silversmith. We also thank Professor J. Clardy and Dr. C. He (Cornell) for the X-ray study of adduct 1c and Professor D. Poland of this department for a helpful discussion. Purchase of a 400-MHz NMR spectrometer was made possible by the NSF (DCM 83-03176) and by the NIH (1 S10 RR0934).

Supplementary Material Available: IR, ¹H NMR, mp, and elemental analysis or high resolution mass spectral data for compounds 1-6 (4 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

(12) A typical experimental procedure is as follows: A dry 10-mL flask cooled in an ice bath and fitted with a magnetic stirring bar, an argon inlet, and a serum cap was charged with cyclohexanone enol trimethylsilyl ether (100 mg, 0.59 mmol) in 1 mL of dry THF and treated dropwise via syringe with MeLi in Et_2O (0.59 mL, 1.1 M, 0.65 mmol) over 1 min. After it was warmed to room temperature, the mixture was stirred for 1 h. The reaction flask was then cooled to -78 °C. Ethyl α -bromoacrylate (232 mg, 1.30 mmol) was added without solvent dropwise via syringe over 0.5 min. Stirring was continued for 1 h at -78 °C. Quenching was achieved by the addition of aqueous NH₄Cl (saturated). The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with Et₂O (3x). The combined organic solution was dried (MgSO₄), filtered, concentrated, and subjected to preparative TLC (petroleum ether:ethyl ether = 2:1); 145.6 mg (54.1%) of **id** was obtained. MIMIRC product **id** (49 mg, 0.11 mmol) and LiCl (8.6 mg, 0.20 mmol) in 33 μ L of dry DMF were stirred at 100 °C overnight under N₂. After cooling the reaction mixture to room temperature, 2 mL of 50% (v/v) of 2.5% sulfuric acid in Et₂O was added. Stirring was continued at room temperature for 4 h. The organic layer was then separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with $Et_2O(3x)$. The combined Et_2O solution was dried over MgSO₄, filtered, concentrated, and subjected to preparative TLC. Aromatic 4a (16.6 mg, 56%) was obtained. Similar results (51% yield) were obtained by dissolving MI-MIRC product 1d in pyridine and refluxing overnight.

Tricyclo[5.5.0.0^{2,8}]dodecatetraene

Leo A. Paquette,* Jürgen Dressel,¹ and Kent L. Chasey

Evans Chemical Laboratories, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210 Received October 7, 1985

Considerable attention has been focused on the valence isomers of [12]annulene, approximately 40 of which are now known,² because their unusual structural features have proven useful in defining the practical limits of orbital symmetry control. Included among the yet unknown (CH)₁₂ hydrocarbons, whose acquisition has been thwarted by serious synthetic complications, are 1-4.3



⁽¹⁾ Fulbright Scholar, 1982–1983; Evans Fellow, 1985–1986. (2) Banciu, M.; Popa, C.; Balaban, A. T. Chem. Scr. 1984, 24, 28.

⁽⁶⁾ Boger, D. L.; Mullican, M. D. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 4033.

⁽⁷⁾ For a review of synthetic methods, see: Paquette, L. A. "Principles of Modern Heterocyclic Chemistry"; W. A. Benjamin: New York, 1968; Chapter 8.

Of this group, the title compound (1) commands special interest because of the orthogonal arrangement of its 1,3-diene subunits,⁴ the inherent potential for interaction between the perpendicular π systems via the "relay" orbitals of the central cyclobutane ring,⁵ and the predicted unlikelihood of its rearrangement into either 2 or 3.5 Some time ago, we prepared bicyclo[4.1.1]octa-2,4-diene (5a) and demonstrated that interaction between the cyclobutane Walsh orbitals and the olefinic moiety can be described by a resonance integral (β) of -1.9 eV.⁶ Subsequently, the same phenomenon was shown to be operative in derivative 5b.7 For the above reasons, we have embarked on a synthesis of 1 and herein record the successful realization of our goal.

Although retrosynthetic considerations point to simultaneous twofold cyclization of a cis⁴tetrasubstituted cyclobutane as a particularly expedient approach to 1, Woodward and Brousseau^{3f} have previously shown this protocol to be fraught with unresolvable complications. Because all four pendant groups must be projected in the axial direction, energetic demands are substantively heightened and alternative reaction pathways become kinetically favored. Thus, tetraester 6 could not be coerced into intramo-



lecular Dieckmann or acyloin condensation.^{3f} Also, tetrabromide 7 reacts with sodium sulfide in HMPA to give 8 and not the disuslfide having structural topography related to 1.^{3f}

From a different vantage point, construction of the central cyclobutane ring by intramolecular S_N2 alkylation appeared feasible,8 although ring expansion would likely be required subsequently. On the other hand, photochemically induced transannular closure of an appropriate medium-ring diene was fully expected not to result in crossbonding⁹ as seen in smaller cyclic networks.¹⁰ The approach described below is striking confirmation that stepwise belting of two C_4 chains to alternate carbon atoms of a four-membered ring can lead successfully to the target polyolefin.

In preparation for distinguishing the 1,3- and 2,4-substituent pairs, dimethyl ϵ -truxillate (9)¹¹ was transformed into dibromide 10 (72%).¹² Exposure of 10 to ruthenium tetroxide¹³ proceeded

(4) Consequently, derivatives of 1 carrying at least one substituent in each ring are chiral and potentially resolvable. The chiroptical properties of this class of compounds could have fundamental relevance to theory (Wynberg, H.; Hulshof, L. A. Tetrahedron 1974, 30, 1775).

 (5) Bischof, P.; Gleiter, R.; Haider, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 1036.
 (6) Gleiter, R.; Bischof, P.; Volz, W. E.; Paquette, L. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 8

(7) (a) Borden, W. T.; Lee, G.; Young, S. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 4841. (b) Young, S. D.; Borden, W. T. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 458 724; Tetrahedron Lett. 1976, 4019.

(8) Heathcock, C. H.; Badger, R. A.; Patterson, J. W., Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 4133.

(9) (a) Shani, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1972, 569. (b) Shani, A.; Tashma, Z. Helv. Chim. Acta 1977, 60, 1903. (c) Scheffer, J. R.; Wostradowski, R. A. J. Org. Chem. 1972, 37, 4317. (d) Scheffer, J. R.; Boire, B. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 5490.

(10) (a) Zimmerman, H. E.; Grunewald, G. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1966, 88, 183. (b) Gleiter, R.; Sander, W.; Irngartinger, H.; Lenz, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 2647. (c) Srinivasan, R.; Carlough, K. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 4932.

(11) (a) Chasey, K. L.; Paquette, L. A.; Blount, J. F. J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 5262. (b) Farnum, D. G.; Mostahari, A. J. Org. Photochem. Synth. 1971, 1, 103.

(12) The structure assigned each compound is in accord with its IR, 300-MHz 'H NMR, ¹³C NMR, and mass spectra. In addition, elemental analyses were obtained in a number of cases. The yields reported are the overall amounts of product obtained for all of the steps required to proceed from one illustrated compound to the next. Scheme I^a



 a (a) LiAlH₄, THF, reflux. (b) Ph₃P·Br₂, CH₂Cl₂. (c) RuO_{2'}XH₂O, NaOCl; HCl. (d) BH₃·THF. (e) Dihydropyran, TsOH, CH₂Cl₂. (f) NaCN, Me₂SO, 90-100 °C, 6.5 h. (g) 10 N KOH, CH₃OH, reflux 15 h; HCl to pH 4. (h) CH_2N_2 . (i) Na/K, Me_3SiCl , Et_2O , room temperature; KH_2PO_4 , KF, H_2O , MeOH, 50 °C. (j) LiAlH₄, THF, room temperature. (k) CSCl₂, 4-DMAP, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C. (l) CN(Me)P-(C₆H₅)N(Me)C 3 h. (m) NBS, (AIBN), CCl₄, 65 °C, sunlamp. (n) Zn/Cu, KI, I₂, DMF, room temperature.

with smooth oxidation of both phenyl groups. Treatment of the resultant dicarboxylic acid with the borane-tetrahydrofuran complex afforded 11 as a highly crystalline solid (70%, Scheme I). To ensure noninterference by the hydroxyl groups, conversion to the bis(tetrahydropyranyl) ether preceded homologation to diester 12 (76%).

At this juncture, the first of two planned acyloin condensations was implemented. Although the use of sodium and Me₃SiCl in refluxing toluene under high dilution conditions proceeded efficiently, substitution of sodium-potassium alloy (1:1) in ether proved even more serviceable (ambient temperature, 70 g of 12 per 500 mL of solvent). Thus, little difficultly was encountered with compression of the four extraannular groups into close spatial proximity. Direct LiAlH₄ reduction gave the cyclic diol, particularly rich in the cis isomer (65-73%). Reaction of its thionocarbonate with 2,5-dimethyl-1-phenyl-2,5-diazaphospholidine¹⁴ resulted in the formation of 13 (86%). Following replacement of the (tetrahydropyranyl)oxy groups in 13 by bromine,¹⁵ the colorless crystalline product exhibited ¹H [(300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.57 (br s, 2 H), 3.61 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 4 H), 2.32 (br d, 4 H), 2.19-2.15 (m, 4 H)] and ¹³C NMR spectra [(CDCl₃) ppm 125.3, 42.9, 39.4, 37.8, 34.0] fully consonant with its symmetry.

Completion of the synthesis required homologation of the remaining two chains and the previous procedure was again employed (except for use of 1:4 Na/K alloy). The existing annulated ring was left at the monounsaturated state to avoid labilizing the cyclobutane bond^{11a} and to allow for like chemical modification of both bridges at the penultimate stages (see below). The six-step conversion of 13 to 14 proved efficacious (54%). Reductive elimination of the hydroxyl groups in 14 by the Corey-Hopkins procedure¹⁴ provided, in 70% yield, the pivotal diene **15**, a colorless crystalline solid having mp 41.0-43.5 °C. At 300 MHz, its three proton types appear as widely spaced broadened singlets centered at δ 5.58, 2.27, and 2.98 in a 1:2:1 ratio. The three ¹³C signals (125.1, 39.2, 33.8 ppm) are likewise in agreement with the structural assignment.

The dehydrogenation of 15 has so far proven vexacious. To date, the most reliable method involves conversion to the tetra-

(15) Sonnet, P. E. Synth. Commun. 1976, 6, 21.

^{(3) (}a) Raghu, S. Ph.D. Thesis, Michigan State University, Ann Arbor, 1972. (b) Wade, L. A. Ph.D. Thesis, Harvard University, 1974. (c) Wilber, W. R. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Wisconsin-Madison, 1974. (d) Vedejs, E.; Shepherd, R. A. J. Org. Chem. 1976, 41, 742. (7) Baldwin, J. E.; Al-Barkawi, Society, Houston, TX, 1970. (f) Brousseau, R. J. Ph.D. Thesis, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, 1977. (g) Scott, L. T. Ph.D. Thesis, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, 1970.

^{(13) (}a) Caputo, J. A.; Fuchs, R. J. Org. Chem. 1968, 33, 1959. (b) Wolfe,
S.; Hasan, S. K.; Campbell, J. R. J. Chem. Soc. D 1970, 1420.
(14) Corey, E. J.; Hopkins, P. B. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 1979.

bromide with NBS and debromination with zinc-copper couple, potassium iodide, and iodine in DMF (24%).^{6,16} Again, C_{2v} symmetry was evident from the NMR spectra.¹⁷ The colorless crystalline substance (mp 27-29 °C) exhibits the following electronic spectrum: λ_{max} cyclohexane 218 (ϵ 8900) and 318 (3000). Photoelectron spectroscopic measurements on 1 will be reported elsewhere. Heating a CDCl₃ solution of 1 to 80 °C induces clean rearrangement to 16^{18} ($t_{1/2} \sim 7$ h). The same isomerization can be achieved more rapidly by irradiation with a TLC UV lamp (λ 254 nm). Accordingly, 1 finds [1,3] sigmatropic migration to be most accessible from its ground and excited states.

Acknowledgment. This research was supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation (CHE-8317954).

Structure of Brevetoxin A (GB-1 Toxin), the Most Potent Toxin in the Florida Red Tide Organism Gymnodinium breve (Ptychodiscus brevis)

Yuzuru Shimizu,* Hong-Nong Chou, and Hideo Bando

Department of Pharmacognosy and Environmental Health Sciences College of Pharmacy, University of Rhode Island Kingston, Rhode Island 02881

Gregory Van Duyne and Jon C. Clardy*

Department of Chemistry, Cornell University Ithaca, New York 14853-1301 Received September 9, 1985

The dinoflagellate Gymnodinium breve1 is the red tide causing organism responsible for massive fish kills and human intoxications including the so-called neurotoxic shellfish poisoning (NSP) in the Gulf of Mexico.² To date the structures of five polyether-type toxins, brevetoxin B (GB-2 toxin) (1),³ brevetoxin C (2),⁴ GB-3 toxin (3),⁵ GB-5 toxin (4),⁶ and GB-6 toxin (5),⁶ have been established by X-ray crystallography and chemical and spectral correlations. The structure elucidation of the most potent ichthyotoxin, brevetoxin A^7 (LC₁₀₀ 4 ng/mL to guppies), has preoccupied several groups, and a speculative structure was reported by a joint US-Japan group on the basis of NMR and mass spectral data.8 The toxin is of particular interest not only because



it is the most potent toxin of this family, but also because it uniquely binds to sodium channels on excitable membranes.⁹

Brevetoxin A (6) was isolated from the cultured cells of G. breve by partition and successive chromatographic separations.¹⁰ It forms fine prisms, mp 197-199 °C/218-220 °C (double melting point) from acetonitrile.⁵ High-resolution FAB mass spectrometry gave the molecular formula $C_{49}H_{70}H_{13}$ (MH⁺, m/z 867.4894; found, m/z 867.4927; MH⁺ – H₂O, m/z 849.4789; found, m/z849.4788). The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra showed the presence of two secondary and two tertiary methyl groups, an α -methylene aldehyde, two disubstituted cis double bonds, and a carbonyl group. The IR absorption at $\nu(CH_2Cl_2)$ 1790 cm⁻¹ suggested that the non-aldehydic carbonyl belongs to a γ -lactone. On the basis of extensive spin-spin decoupling, proton-proton coupling correlation (COSY), and proton-carbon correlation spectroscopy (hetero-COSY) experiments, we recently reported the partial structures shown in Figure 1 for 6.11 Due to the absence of certain signals in the COSY spectra and the discontinuity of proton couplings at the quarternary carbons, we were unable to connect these fragments with reasonable certainty. Although 6 was crystalline,⁵ its X-ray analysis has not yet been successful. In an attempt to circumvent this difficulty, 6 was converted to a dimethyl acetal 7, prisms, mp 233-235 °C, by treatment with methanol in the

brevetoxin A in order to avoid further confusion.
(8) Tempesta, M.; Golik, J.; James, J. C.; Nakanishi, K.; Pawlak, J.; Iwashita, T.; Gross, M. L.; Tomer, K. B. "The 1984 International Chemical Congress of Pacific Basin Societies"; Honolulu, HI, dec 16-21, 1984; Abstr. 10E 45. Nakanishi, K. Toxicon 1985, 23, 473-479. Proposed is a structure with the ring system 5(lactone)/6/6/7/11/8/8/6/6/6 and a trans double bond on the 11-membered ring. One of the major discrepancies is in the B-ring which was postulated as six membered with an angular methyl group.
(9) Catterall, W. A.; Risk, M. Mol. Pharmacol. 1981, 19, 345-348.
(10) The cells were extracted with methylene chloride, and the extract was

(10) The cells were extracted with methylene chloride, and the extract was partitioned between petroleum ether and 90% methanol. The methanolic extract was chromatographed on SiO_2 first with methylene chloride-benzene-methanol (40:5:1), and then with methylene chloride-ethyl acetatemethanol (5:3:0.1). After removal of brevetoxin B by crystallization, 6 was purified by HPLC [normal-phase SiO₂, isooctane-99% isopropyl alcohol (4:1)] in a yield of 1.2 mg from 10^9 cells. GB-7 (8) was separated from GB-3 toxin fraction³ by HPLC [normal-phase SiO₂, isooctane-99% isopropyl alcohol (4:1)]

(11) Chou, H. N.; Shimizu, Y.; Van Duyne, G. D.; Clardy, J. "The Third International Conference on Toxic Dinoflagellate Blooms"; Anderson, D., Baden, D., Eds.; Elsevier: New York, 1985; in press.

0002-7863/86/1508-0514\$01.50/0 © 1986 American Chemical Society

^{(16) (}a) Elix, J. A.; Sargent, M. V.; Sondheimer, F. Chem. Commun. 1966, 508. (b) Vogel, E.; Bell, W. A.; Lohmer, E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1971, 10, 399.

^{(17) &}lt;sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 6.03–5.92 (m, 4 H), 5.80–5.70 (m, 4 H), 2.60–2.50 (br d, 4 H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, $CDCl_3$) ppm 134.4, 123.1, 32.9

⁽¹⁸⁾ Paquette, L. A.; Kukla, M. J.; Ley, S. V.; Traynor, S. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 4756.

⁽¹⁾ Some authors are using the newly proposed name Ptychodiscus brevis (1) Some autors are using the newly proposed name *Ptychoaliscus oreois* (Steidinger, K. A. "Toxic Dinoflagellate Blooms"; Taylor, D. L., Seliger, H. H., Eds.; Elsevier/North Holland: New York, 1979; pp 435-442), but a question has been raised regarding the appropriateness of the taxonomical change (e.g., Dodge, J. D. "Marine Dinoflagellates of the British Isles"; Her Majesty's Stationary Office: London, 1982; p 108). Present authors will use the traditional name until the matter is solved.

⁽²⁾ Shimizu, Y. "Marine Natural Products"; Scheuer, P. J., Ed.; Academic (2) Similar 1, 1978; Vol. I, pp 1-42. See also a collection of symposium papers on brevetoxins in: *Toxicon* 1985, 23, 4.
(3) Lin, Y. Y.; Risk, M.; Ray, S. M.; VanEngen, D.; Clardy, J.; Golik, J.; James, J. C.; Nakanishi, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 6773-6775.
(4) Golik, J.; James, J. C.; Nakanishi, K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1982, 23, 0525 5555.

^{2535-2538.}

⁽⁵⁾ Chou, H. N.; Shimizu, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 5521-5524.
(6) Chou, H. N.; Shimizu, Y.; Van Duyne, G.; Clardy, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 2865-2868.

⁽⁷⁾ There is considerable confusion over the names of the toxins. GB-1 and GB-2 toxins were first reported in crude form by Alam et al. (Alam, M.; Trieff, N. M.; Ray, S. M.; Hudson, J. E. J. Pharm. Sci. 1975, 64, 865-867) and purified GB-2 with detailed spectroscopic data and structural information by Shimizu et al. (Shimizu, Y.; Alam, M., Fallon, W. F. "Proceedings of Food-Drugs from the Sea 1974"; Webber, H. H., Ruggieri, G. D., Eds.; Marine Technology Society: Washington, DC, 1976; pp 238–251 and ref 2). Later, supposedly identical compounds were reported under different names: T34, T47, and brevetoxin-B for GB-2 toxin and T46 and brevetoxin A for GB-1 toxin. Although we have been using the name GB-1 as the toxin preceding GB-2 toxin since 1974, here we decided to take an initiative to use brevetoxin A in order to avoid further confusion.